

AK

Notice of Allowability	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/702,146	CURTIS ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Demetrius R. Pretlow	2863

-- *The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address--*

All claims being allowable, PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS IS (OR REMAINS) CLOSED in this application. If not included herewith (or previously mailed), a Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85) or other appropriate communication will be mailed in due course. **THIS NOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT RIGHTS.** This application is subject to withdrawal from issue at the initiative of the Office or upon petition by the applicant. See 37 CFR 1.313 and MPEP 1308.

1. This communication is responsive to after final amendment filed November 10, 2005.
2. The allowed claim(s) is/are 8, 10, 11, 14, 24, 26, 27, 30, 43, 59, 60 and 63.
3. Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
 - a) All
 - b) Some*
 - c) None
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* Certified copies not received: _____.

Applicant has THREE MONTHS FROM THE "MAILING DATE" of this communication to file a reply complying with the requirements noted below. Failure to timely comply will result in ABANDONMENT of this application.
THIS THREE-MONTH PERIOD IS NOT EXTENDABLE.

4. A SUBSTITUTE OATH OR DECLARATION must be submitted. Note the attached EXAMINER'S AMENDMENT or NOTICE OF INFORMAL PATENT APPLICATION (PTO-152) which gives reason(s) why the oath or declaration is deficient.
5. CORRECTED DRAWINGS (as "replacement sheets") must be submitted.
 - (a) including changes required by the Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) attached
 - 1) hereto or 2) to Paper No./Mail Date _____.
 - (b) including changes required by the attached Examiner's Amendment / Comment or in the Office action of
 Paper No./Mail Date _____.

Identifying indicia such as the application number (see 37 CFR 1.84(c)) should be written on the drawings in the front (not the back) of each sheet. Replacement sheet(s) should be labeled as such in the header according to 37 CFR 1.121(d).
6. DEPOSIT OF and/or INFORMATION about the deposit of BIOLOGICAL MATERIAL must be submitted. Note the attached Examiner's comment regarding REQUIREMENT FOR THE DEPOSIT OF BIOLOGICAL MATERIAL.

Attachment(s)

1. Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
2. Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
3. Information Disclosure Statements (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08),
 Paper No./Mail Date _____
4. Examiner's Comment Regarding Requirement for Deposit
 of Biological Material
5. Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
6. Interview Summary (PTO-413),
 Paper No./Mail Date _____.
7. Examiner's Amendment/Comment
8. Examiner's Statement of Reasons for Allowance
9. Other _____.

DETAILED ACTION

EXAMINER'S AMENDMENT

An examiner's amendment to the record appears below. Should the changes and/or additions be unacceptable to applicant, an amendment may be filed as provided by 37 CFR 1.312. To ensure consideration of such an amendment, it MUST be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee.

Authorization for this examiner's amendment was given in a telephone interview with Stephen Rudisill on January 5, 2006.

The application has been amended as follows:

In claim 43, line 7, after indices, insert " weighting said at least one power quality category according to the load type present" .

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 8, 10, 11, 14, 24, 26, 27, 30, 41, 43, 44, 47, 57, 59, 60 and 63 are allowed.

The best prior art of record particularly Chung et al. "Development of Power Quality Diagnosis System for Power Quality Improvement" teach the structure and functions of power quality data management, however Chung et al. does not teach the following claim limitations.

The primary reason for the allowance of claims 8, 11, 14 and 24 is the inclusion of the limitations of an of an power quality indices for power quality categories involving steady state conditions are based on the percentage time said conditions exist during

an evaluation period and the severity of such conditions, and said power quality indices for power quality categories involving abnormal events are based on the number of such events that occur during an evaluation period and the severity of such events. It is these limitations found in each of the claims, as they are **claimed in the combination**, that has not been found, taught or suggested by the prior art of record which makes these claims allowable over the prior art.

The primary reason for the allowance of claims 10, 26, 27, and 30 is the inclusion of the limitations of an said weighted average is based on the nature of the power distribution facility and the load types in said facility. It is these limitations found in each of the claims, as they are **claimed in the combination**, that has not been found, taught or suggested by the prior art of record which makes these claims allowable over the prior art.

The primary reason for the allowance of claims 41,44,47 and 57 is the inclusion of the method steps of determining an overall power quality index for all of said individual power quality indices, weighting said at least one power quality category according to the load type present, *said power quality indices for power quality categories involving steady state conditions are being based on the percentage of time said conditions exist during an evaluation period and the severity of such conditions, and said power quality indices for power quality categories involving abnormal events are being based on the number of such events that occur during an evaluation period and the severity of such events.* It is this step found in each of the claims, as it is

claimed in the combination, that has not been found, taught or suggested by the prior art of record which makes these claims allowable over the prior art.

The primary reason for the allowance of claims 43,59, 60 and 63 is the inclusion of the method step of determining an overall power quality index for all of said individual power quality indices that is a weighted average of said power quality indices for said power quality categories, said weighted average being based on the nature of the power distribution facility and the load types in said facility. It is this step found in each of the claims, as it is **claimed in the combination**, that has not been found, taught or suggested by the prior art of record which makes these claims allowable over the prior art.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Demetrius R. Pretlow whose telephone number is (571) 272-2278. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Fri. 8-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Barlow can be reached on (571) 272-2269. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Demetrius R. Pretlow



1/6/08

Patent Examiner



John Barlow
Supervisory Patent Examiner
Technology Center 2800